Introduction

Whole-body MRI (WB-MRI) is an increasingly used, radiation-free imaging method for assessing bone and soft tissue pathology, and for evaluating response to therapy [1]. WB-MRI has been developed to overcome the limitations of Bone Scintigraphy (BS) and Computed Tomography (CT) for detection and therapeutic response assessments in bone metastases [2]. Although increasingly used and recommended by international guidelines for multiple myeloma [3], WB-MRI usage has been confined mainly to expert centers, causing some concerns about its broader applicability. While WB-MRI can be performed on almost all modern MRI scanners, inconsistencies in WB-MRI acquisition protocols and reporting standards have prevented its widespread testing and implementation, beyond the indication for multiple myeloma.

Recently, a group of oncologic imaging specialists teamed with a leading urologist and oncologist, to develop recommendations on the minimum requirements for WB-MRI acquisition protocol as well as standardized reporting guidelines. They recognized that for this promising method to become mainstream it is vital to enforce some uniformity in acquisition, interpretation, and reporting. The authors have named their formulation for metastatic disease response and diagnostic system for prostate cancer as MET-RADS-P (METastasis Reporting And Data System for Prostate cancer) [4].

Why MET-RADS is needed

BS/CT scans are widely used and endorsed by international guidelines as the standard imaging investigations in the staging and follow-up of metastatic prostate cancer, thereby affecting patient management [5, 6]. However, it is increasing clear that currently used imaging methods are limited in their effectiveness in directing therapy and may no longer be relevant in the era of high-precision medicine, where an increasing number of cytostatic and novel therapies are becoming available [2]. For example, the accepted minimum lymph node diameter (10 mm – short axis) on CT scan as measure of involvement is only modestly correlated with the presence of malignant disease, and CT cannot accurately evaluate the presence or the therapeutic response in bone metastases, the commonest metastatic site in prostate cancer. Conversely, increased BS uptake in number and extent of lesions can equally occur with the osteoblastic healing (FLARE reaction) associated with tumor response and with the osteoblastic progression associated with tumor burden increase, thus creating confusion between response and progression, when response to therapy is being assessed. Next generation whole-body imaging tools such as PET with targeted tracers and WB-MRI with diffusion-weighted sequences are emerging as powerful alternatives; however, the challenge remains in validating these newer imaging approaches, so that their use can be justified in the clinical routine.

An important step in this process is to ensure uniformity in the acquisition, interpretation, and reporting of next generation whole-body imaging methods, so that multicenter trials leading to validation of these methods can be more easily performed and evaluated. An important step for WB-MRI is the new MET-RADS-P standard for use in patients with advanced prostate cancer [4]. The standard establishes minimum acceptable technical parameters for imaging acquisitions built with sequences already available on most modern scanners. Of the sequences recommended, it is acknowledged that whole-body diffusion-weighted sequences are the most challenging to implement across imaging platforms. These sequences have been grouped to enable fast, high-quality examinations for tumor detection and response assessments (core and comprehensive protocols respectively). Image quality control and quality assurance procedures are also detailed by the standard.

The MET-RADS-P standard is designed to offer day-to-day reporting guidance, paired with a detailed reporting tool that describes the disease phenotype based on anatomic patterns of metastatic spread thus, enabling systematic collection of analyzable data for research purposes.

Comprehensive response criteria for bone and soft tissue metastases and local disease have been proposed, with the ability to summarize the likelihood of a response to treatment, using a Likert-like 1–5 scale. It is important to note that the summarized likelihood of response in bone, uses newly developed MET-RADS criteria, but the response in soft-tissues continues to be based on long established
Figure 1A: Updated MET-RADS-P template form allocates the presence of unequivocal identified disease to 14 predefined regions of the body (primary disease, seven skeletal and three nodal regions, lung, liver and other soft tissue sites) at baseline and on follow-up assessments. At each anatomical location, the presence of disease is indicated (yes/no) together with the response assessment categories (primary/secondary). The overall response of the primary tumor, nodal and visceral disease are categorical (no disease (ND), complete response (CR), partial response (PR), stable disease (SD) and progressive disease (PD)). However, the overall response of bone disease is on a scale of 1–5 indicating the likely overall response category: (1) highly likely to be responding, (2) likely to be responding, (3) stable, (4) likely to be progressing and (5) highly likely to be progressing.

Figure 1B: Criteria for regional response assessment categories (RACs) that summarize likelihood of response in bone disease employ the newly developed MET-RADS criteria, but RACs for response in soft-tissues use established standards already prescribed by RECIST v1.1 and PCWG guidance [7, 8]. Response assessment is indicated on a 1–5 scale indicating the likely RAC for each location, comparing to the baseline study (RAC-1, indicates highly likely to be responding, up to RAC-5, indicating highly likely to be progressing).
Figure 2: Primary resistance to hormonal therapy
67-year-old male with metastatic castrate resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC).
WB-MRI examinations before and on androgen deprivation therapy (Abiraterone and Goserelin).

Figure 2A: Marked disease progression can be seen on morphology T1-weighted and STIR sequences and on WB b900 MIP images and confirmed by ADC measurements (see Fig. 2D also). Disease progression is seen in the prostate gland with extensive bladder invasion together with rectal invasion. There is disease progression in pelvic and retroperitoneal lymph nodes with nodal enlargement in the left axilla also. There is bone disease progression throughout the spine with extra-osseous soft tissue disease with new and enlarging deposits. No liver or lung disease is seen. The spinal stenosis at L3/4 is degenerative in nature.

Figure 2B: Original text report for the follow-up examination that accompanies the MET-RADS-P template report.

Figure 2C: Completed MET-RADS-P template report indicating sites of disease and RACs at each anatomical location compared to the baseline study. The presence of unequivocal identified disease is indicated together with primary and secondary RACs at each site using the criteria set out in Figure 1B. Short relevant comments are included for clarification purposes where needed.
Figure 2D: WB-tumor load segmentation undertaken on syngo.via Frontier MR Total Tumor Load software (Siemens Healthcare; released research prototype – not part of the MET-RADS-P standard) for illustrative purposes only.

The whole-body b900 images are segmented using computed high b-value images of 1200 s/mm² and signal intensity threshold of approximately 100 AU. Extraneous signals (such as the brain, kidneys, bowel, gonads) are removed to leave only recognizable disease sites. The color the b900 MIP images are overlaid with ADC value classes using the thresholds indicated. The green voxels are values ≥1500 µm²/s (representing voxels that are ‘highly likely’ to be responding). The yellow voxels are set to lie between the 95th centile ADC value of the pre-treatment histogram (1295 µm²/s) and 1500 µm²/s thus representing voxels ‘likely’ to be responding. Red-voxels represent mostly untreated disease.

43 mL of tumor are segmented before therapy and 472 mL on therapy. Note that there is no significant global increase in ADC values (859 µm²/s and 885 µm²/s) on the corresponding absolute frequency histograms. There is also no increase in the standard deviation of the histogram (247 and 249 µm²/s). Note increased extent and volume of red-voxels consistent with disease progression (95% before therapy and 94% after therapy).

standards, prescribed by RECIST v1.1 and PCWG, the Prostate Cancer Working Group [7, 8] for clinical research. Discordant responses in which progressing and responding lesions are seen at same time point, are increasing seen with the use of targeted therapies and are a recognized manifestation of tumor heterogeneity. MET-RADS-P proposes methods to record the presence, location and extent of discordant responses between and within body parts. The use of MET-RADS-P enables for the first time to categorize bone disease response into 3 categories (progressive disease, stable disease and response), rather than the clinically recommended categories (progression/no progression) when using BS/CT scans [8], thus mirroring response assessments in soft tissues disease.

The benefits of using a standardized approach include enhanced data collection for outcomes monitoring in clinical trials and from patient registries, enhancing the education of radiologists to reduce variability in imaging interpretations, and for improving communication with referring clinicians. The MET-RADS-P authors state that the new way of response categorization from 2 categories used currently, to 3 categories when assessing bone disease response, could lead to a paradigm shift from the current concept of treating patients to documentable progression (when tumor volume could be substantially greater than baseline), to being guided by the presence or absence of benefit to therapy thus introducing more nuanced delivery of patient care.

MET-RADS-P template form

Response assessment categories (RACs)

An updated MET-RADS-P template form can be found in Figure 1 and is available as a pdf document at: www.siemens.com/magnetom-world.

The use of MET-RADS-P system starts by allocating the presence of unequivocal identified disease based on morphology and signal characteristics on all acquired images to 14 predefined regions of the body (primary disease, seven skeletal and three nodal regions, lung, liver and other soft tissue sites) at baseline and on follow-up assessments (see page 1 of the MET-RADS-P template form Figure 1A).

For follow-up studies, a response assessment on a scale of 1–5 indicating the likely response assessment category (RAC) for each location is recorded, comparing to the baseline study (RAC-1, indicating highly likely to be responding, up to RAC-5, indicating highly likely to be progressing).

The reporting guideline provides detailed explanations of the imaging criteria to be used to classify the likelihood of response in bones. Thus, RACs that summarize likelihood...
of response in bone disease employ the newly developed MET-RADS criteria (Fig. 1B), but RACs for response in soft-tissues continue to use established standards already prescribed by RECIST v1.1 and PCWG guidance [7, 8].

For each region, only 2 RACs are needed to account for heterogeneity of responses that may occur in different anatomic areas. The primary RAC value (1–5) is based on dominant pattern of response within the region (that is, the response shown by more than half of the lesions within the region). A secondary RAC value (1–5) is assigned to the second most frequent pattern of response seen within the region.

A tertiary RAC value (4–5) maybe assigned to the region to illustrate progressing disease (i.e. RAC 4–5), if not already captured by the primary or secondary RAC values but this is not usually necessary in clinical practice.

When assessing a single lesion in a region, only the primary number category is used. Regions with multiple lesions all with the same pattern of response will have the same RAC value assigned as both the primary and secondary RACs. When equal numbers of lesions are category RAC 4/5 (progressing) as RAC 1/2/3 (responding & stable), then the primary pattern allocation is reserved for RAC 4/5 (the higher category). Similarly, when equal numbers of lesions are category RAC 1/2 as RAC 3, then the primary pattern allocation is reserved for RAC 3 (the higher category).

**Overall response**

The final response assessment consists of separately assessing the status of the primary disease, bones, nodes, and viscera without an overall patient response result. The overall patient assessment should be summarized in the text report which should accompany the MET-RADS-P template report (Figs. 2B, C).

Unlike regional response assessments which allocate RACs, the overall response for the primary tumor, nodal and visceral disease should be categorical, thus following established guidelines [7, 8], to improve communication with clinicians who are already familiar with this format. The following categories should be assigned: no disease (ND), complete response (CR), partial response (PR), stable disease (SD) and progressive disease (PD).

In contradistinction, the overall response of bone disease should be categorized on a scale of 1–5 indicating the likely overall response category: (1) highly likely to be responding, (2) likely to be responding, (3) stable, (4) likely to be progressing and (5) highly likely to be progressing.

Discordance or mixed response indicates the presence of progressing bone/soft tissue disease, not meeting definite progression criteria in the primary category, that is, when the majority of disease is stable or responding.

Discordant response should also be separately reported for primary, nodal, visceral and bone; evaluation of regional responses will enable the specific identification of the anatomic sites of mixed responses.

When discordant response is observed, the degree of discordance should be indicated major or minor to indicate in the evaluators opinion on whether alternative therapy options should be considered.

ADC value measurements should be made using a region-of-interest (ROI) technique on ADC images. Due to the lower spatial resolution of WB-MRI compared to CT scans, a 1.5 cm diameter threshold for bone lesions ROI is recommended for ADC measurements.

ADC measurements in bone disease should only be obtained from lesions that have sufficient signal intensity detected on all b-value images (including b0); otherwise the ADC values will be erroneous, reflecting only the noise in the images. Note that the absence of tissue signal on highest b-value images does not exclude tissues from ADC measurements because signal maybe present at lower b-values (thus, low or intermediate b-value images should be chosen instead for ROI placements).

**Research components**

Because of the need to unequivocally identify disease and to cope with the lower spatial resolution of WB-MRI compared to CT scans, a 1.5 cm diameter threshold for lesion size assessments is advised. Lesion size should be measured on anatomic T1-weighted images where possible.

Note that progression assignments for soft tissues, if based on measurements should be from baseline or the treatment induced summed measurement nadir, whichever is lower as per the RECIST v1.1 guidelines [7].

The type of progression (new disease versus growth of existing lesions) should be separately recorded; the location of progression can be accessible from the regional response assessments.

RACs at each time point should be compared to the baseline (pre-treatment) study for clinical use, but maybe referenced to the immediate prior study for research purposes if needed.

Whole-body tumor segmentations and histogram analysis are not part of the MET-RADS-P standard but can be used as ancillary tools if available (and are used in this paper for illustrative purposes only).

**Worked up examples**

An updated MET-RADS-P template form and detailed bone response assessment criteria can be found in Figure 1 and is available as a pdf document at www.siemens.com/magnetom-world.

Figures 2–4 illustrate the use of the MET-RADS-P standard in advanced, metastatic prostate cancer illustrated with examples of disease progression, responding and discordant responses.

The figures also demonstrate the utility of the WB-tumor load segmentation which is undertaken with the MR Total Tumor Load prototype, a released research software tool available on syngo.via Frontier (Siemens Healthcare,
Figure 3: Excellent response to chemotherapy
65-year-old male with metastatic castrate naive prostate cancer (mCNPC).
WB-MRI examinations before and after 4 cycles of docetaxel, goserelin and prednisolone therapy.

Figure 3A: There is improvement in the spinal canal narrowing in the mid-dorsal and lumbar spine on the T2W-FS images. The T1-weighted images are essentially unchanged or possibly minimally worse. There is also marked improved appearances of the bone and nodal disease on the paired WB b900 MIP images (inverted scale) and confirmed by significant increase in ADC values (see Figure 3C) of the bone lesions and reduction in size of the nodes.

Figure 3B: Completed MET-RADS-P template report indicating sites of disease and RACs at each anatomical location compared to the baseline study. Note how the overall response at the primary tumor is indicated as no disease (previous radiotherapy). The overall pelvic nodal and retroperitoneal disease is excellent indicated as partial response (PR). The bone disease response is indicated by category 1 (highly likely to be responding).

Figure 3C: WB-tumor load segmentation undertaken on syngo.via Frontier MR Total Tumor Load software (Siemens Healthcare; released research prototype – not part of the MET-RADS-P standard) for illustrative purposes only.

The whole-body b900 images are segmented using computed high b-value images of 1000 s/mm² and signal intensity threshold of approximately 30 AU. Extraneous signals (such as the brain, kidneys, bowel, gonads) are removed to leave only recognizable disease sites. The thresholded mask is overlaid with ADC value classes using the thresholds indicated and superimposed onto the b900 MIP images. The green voxels are values ≥1500 µm²/s (representing voxels that are ‘highly likely’ to be responding). The yellow voxels are set to lie between the 95th centile ADC value of the pre-treatment histogram (1067 µm²/s) and 1500 µm²/s thus representing voxels ‘likely’ to be responding. Red-voxels represent mostly untreated disease.

1281 mL of bone marrow and retroperitoneal nodal disease were segmented before therapy and 430 mL on therapy. Note that there is marked global increase in ADC values (705 µm²/s and 1635 µm²/s) on the corresponding relative frequency histograms. There is a marked decrease in excess kurtosis of the histograms (9.0 and -0.60). Note decreased extent and volume of red-voxels consistent with disease response (95% before therapy and 17% after therapy). The residual red regions on the post therapy scan are presumed to represent residual disease with low ADC values in the lower lumbar spine and in the left proximal femur.
Figure 4: Discordant response to Radium-223 therapy
55-year-old male with metastatic castrate resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC). Previously failed treatments include docetaxel chemotherapy and abiraterone. Previously lumbar spinal radiotherapy. WB-MRI scans were obtained before and after Radium-223 treatment. Symptomatically the patient is worse with increasing bone pain and has become blood transfusion dependent; however PSA values are improved from 792 ng/mL to 167 ng/mL thus creating diagnostic confusion on the effectiveness of Radium-223 therapy.

Figure 4A: T1-weighted spine images show increased abnormal signal in the cervical, dorsal and lumbar-sacral spine suggestive of disease progression using the criteria in Figure 1B. However, the STIR sequence shows higher signal intensities in the cervical and dorsal spine indicating increased tissue water. Note increase in size of retro-peritoneal nodes (orange arrows).

Figure 4B: Responding disease in femora & dorsal spine, new disease in lumbar spine
Coronal b900 and ADC maps show decreased b900 signal intensities and increased ADC values in the dorsal spine and proximal femora (orange arrows) indicating responding disease (T1w-pseudo-progression in the dorsal spine). However, the opposite is seen in the lumbar spine where b900 signal intensity is increased (red arrows) and with low ADC values indicating new disease (true progression). Note some enlargement of the primary prostate tumor also (vertical red arrows).

Figure 4C: Paired b900 MIP images (inverted scale) showing new nodal disease in the left hemipelvis, retroperitoneum and in the left supraclavicular fossa (red arrows). On the other hand, the enlarged lymph nodes in the right common iliac region is improved (green arrow). There seems to be an increase in extent of bone marrow signal intensity. The high signal geographic lesion over the right thigh on the follow-up examination is a dipper pad (*). Note lower signal intensity of the brain on follow-up examination due to the absence of the head coil.
Figure 4D: Completed MET-RADS-P template report indicating sites of disease and RACs at each anatomical location compared to the baseline study. Note how the RAC of response at the primary tumor is mostly stable with some progression (RAC 3/4). The RAC of the pelvic nodes is indicated as 5/2 meaning that there is progression in the majority of the nodes although a single lymph node has responded (see also Figure 4E). Overall the bone disease is scored as 2 (likely to be responding in the majority of regions) with major discordance due to progression in lumbo-sacral spine and pelvis (both with RAC scores of 5/5).

Figure 4E: WB-tumor load segmentation undertaken on syngo via Frontier MR Total Tumor Load software (Siemens Healthineers; released research prototype – not part of the MET-RADS-P standard) for illustrative purposes only.

The whole-body b900 images are segmented using computed high b-value images of 1000 s/mm² and signal intensity threshold of approximately 100 AU. Extraneous signals (such as the brain, kidneys, bowel, gonads) are removed to leave only recognizable disease sites. The color the b900 MIP images are overlaid with ADC value classes using the thresholds indicated. The green voxels are values ≥1500 µm²/s (representing voxels that are ‘highly likely’ to be responding). The yellow voxels are set to lie between the 95th centile ADC value of the pre-treatment histogram (1208 µm²/s) and 1500 µm²/s thus representing voxels ‘likely’ to be responding. Red-voxels represent mostly untreated disease. 570 mL of bone marrow and nodal disease are segmented before therapy and 538 mL on therapy. Note that there is moderate global increase in ADC values (670 µm²/s and 920 µm²/s) on the corresponding relative frequency histograms. There is a decrease in excess kurtosis of the histograms (2.2 and -0.05). Note decrease extent and volume of red-voxels consistent with disease response (95% before therapy and 76% after therapy). Heterogeneity of response in the spine (more red voxels in the lumbar spine and more green voxels in the dorsal spine) and in the pelvis is appreciable on these color projected images. This heterogeneity of response emphasizes the need to evaluate all the relevant WB-MRI images and to apply regional responses using the MET-RADS-P criteria.
Erlangen, Germany; released research prototype). Note that tumor load and ADC histogram analysis is not part of the MET-RADS-P standard, and is included for illustrative and cross-correlations purposes only. Detailed working of the syngo.via Frontier MR Total Tumor Load software is described in an accompanying article by Robert Grimm and Anwar R. Padhani in this issue of MAGNETOM Flash.

Conclusions and future developments

The MET-RADS-P system provides the minimum standards for whole-body MR with DWI image acquisition, interpretation, and reporting of both baseline and follow-up monitoring examinations of men with advanced, metastatic prostate cancer. MET-RADS-P is suitable for guiding patient care in practice (using the regional and overall assessment criteria), but can also be incorporated into clinical trials when accurate lesion size and ADC measurements become more important (thus, recording of measurements is not mandated for clinical practice). MET-RADS-P enables the evaluation of the benefits of continuing therapy to be assessed, when there are signs that the disease is progressing (discordant responses).

MET-RAD-P requires validation within clinical trials initially in studies that assess the effects of known efficacious treatments, such as those targeting the androgen axis, cytotoxic chemotherapy, Radium-223 and PARP inhibitors. METRADS-P measures should be correlated to other tumor response biomarkers delineated by PCWG (such as PSA declines), quality of life measures, rates of skeletal events, radiographic progression free survival and overall survival. The latter will be needed for the introduction of WB-MRI into longer term follow-up studies that will allow objective assessments of whether WB-MRI is effective in supporting patient care. Thus, we recommend that MET-RADS-P is now evaluated in clinical care and trials, to assess its impact on the clinical practice of advanced prostate cancer.

References


Visit us at www.siemens.com/magnetom-world

to download the MET-RADS-P template form.